								Data Component Ranking Value										Overall	Ranking		
CASGEM Groundwater Basin Prioritization Results									ŧ	IIs			Grour	Groundwater Relian							!
Sorted by Priority Basin Basin Basin DWR Basin Area 2010						ıtion	ation Growt	Supply Wells	Wells *	ed Acreage	*	nt of Supply **	eliance	<u>ج</u>	ation	Overall Basin Ranking Score ***	Overall Basin Priority	Impact Comments	Other Information Comments		
	Number Basin Name	Sub-Basin Name	Region	Region Office	Acres	Sq. Mile	2010 Population	Popula	Popula	Public	Total \	Irrigat	GW Use	Percer Total S	3W Re Fotal	Impac	Other	50010			
1	3-4.02 SALINAS VALLEY	EAST SIDE AQUIFER	Central Coast	SCRO	57,452	89.8	128,646	5 3	4	4	3	5	5	5	5	3	0	27.0	High	Overdraft conditions in basin, high TDS and Nitrates exceeding drinking water standards in portions of the basin	
	5-22.11 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	KAWEAH	Tulare Lake	SCRO	446,283	697.3			5	3	3	5	5	2	3.5	5	0	26.5	High	Overdraft, water quality issues.	
3	3-3.01 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY	LLAGAS AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	55,967	87.4	91,706	5 3	2	5	3.75	5	5	5	5	2	0	25.8	High	Nitrate has impacted a significant number of private domestic wells across the Llagas Subbasin due to historic and ongoing sources including agricultural activities and septic systems, Perchlorate is also a problem	
4	5-22.06 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	MADERA	San Joaquin River	SCRO	393,429	614.7	116,919	1	5	2	3	5	5	3	4	5	0	25.0	High	Subsidence, critical overdraft, water quality degradation	
5	3-2 PAJARO VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	88,062	137.6	114,282	2 2	2	4	3.75		5	5	5	4	0	24.8	High	PVWMD 2011 Annual Report indicates that Pajaro Valley GW basin remains in significant overdraft, with continuing seawater intrusion and gw storage depletion.	
6	3-4.01 SALINAS VALLEY	180/400 FOOT AQUIFER	Central Coast	SCRO	84,321	131.8	55,740	2	0	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	0	24.0	High	Coastal basin with saline intrusion in both 180-Foot and 400-Foot aquifers due to excessive groundwater pumping	
7	5-22.02 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	MODESTO	San Joaquin River	SCRO	246,518	385.2	294,872	2 2	3	4	3	4	5	2	3.5	4	0	23.5	High	Water quality degradation due to industrial and agricultural	
	3-4.06 SALINAS VALLEY	PASO ROBLES AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	597,241	933.2	,		4	2	0.75	3	2	5	3.5	4	5	23.3	High	practices Nitrate and TDS impacts to groundwater (B-118)	County groundwater ordinance banning further residential development in basin.
	5-22.08 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	KINGS	Tulare Lake	SCRO	977,030	1,526.6			4	4	3.75	5	5	3	4	0	0	22.8	High		
10	3-7 CARMEL VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	5,151	8.0	.,		3	5	3.75	2	5	5	5	1	1	22.8	High	Excessive pumping of Cal-Am wells caused groundwater overdraft and Carmel River to dry, leading to court order.	SW-GW Interaction Issue. Cal-Am Water Company court ordered to reduce 2/3rds of diversions from Carmel River.
	5-22.14 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	KERN COUNTY	Tulare Lake	SCRO	1,950,113	3,047.1	700,323	3 1	5	2	1.5	4	4	2	3	5	1	22.5	High	Subsidence, overdraft, water quality degradation	Agricultural importance, large basin which results in low population density.
12	5-22.09 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	WESTSIDE	Tulare Lake	SCRO	640,504	1,000.8	27,285	5 1	1	1	1.5	5	4	2	3	5	5	22.5	High	Subsidence, critical overdraft, saline conditions, subsidence	Additional points added for critical agricultural importance, very high TDS and pesticide contamination issues
13	5-22.04 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	MERCED	San Joaquin River	SCRO	491,255	767.6	173,731	1 1	4	2	3	5	4	3	3.5	4	0	22.5	High	Overdraft and water quality degradation (MAGPI GWMP).	
14	5-22.07 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	DELTA-MENDOTA	San Joaquin River	SCRO	746,697	1,166.7	107,879	9 1	5	1	2.25	5	4	2	3	2	3	22.3	High	Overdraft issues in basin discussed in San Luis and Delta Mendota Water Authority GWMP	Important agricultural region.
15	5-22.12 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	TULARE LAKE	Tulare Lake	SCRO	524,539	819.6	125,701	1 1	4	1	2.25	5	5	3	4	5	0	22.3	High	Subsidence, overdraft, water quality degradation	
16	5-22.13 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	TULE	Tulare Lake	SCRO	469,959	734.3	108,660	0 1	4	2	2.25	5	5	3	4	4	0	22.3	High	Critical aquifer overdraft conditions in basin. High Nitrate and TDS i some locations and some inorganic contamination issues.	n
17	3-1 SOQUEL VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	2,515	3.9	10)00		2	5	3.75	1	5	4	4.5	1	0	22.3	High	Water quality degradation, saline intrusion issues.	
18	5-27 CUMMINGS VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	10,051	15.7	,		5	4	3	3	3	5	4	0	1	22.0	High		Adjudicated basin
19	3-8 LOS OSOS VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	6,994	10.9	13,948	3	0	5	0	4	3	3	3	5	2	22.0	High	Documented saline intrusion due to "serious" overdraft, also nitrati impairment.	purveyors in basin and proceeding with adjudication. Also add one point due to total well count error for this basin.
20	5-22.03 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	TURLOCK	San Joaquin River	SCRO	347,146	542.4	·		3	3	3	5	5	2	3.5	2	0	21.5	High	Groundwater overdraft documented in local GWMP.	
21	5-22.05 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	CHOWCHILLA	San Joaquin River	SCRO	159,319	248.9	15,820	1	4	2	2.25	5	5	3	4	3	0	21.3	High	Overdraft, subsidence, water quality degradation	
22	3-4.08 SALINAS VALLEY	SEASIDE AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	25,903	40.5	65,899	3	0	4	3.75	1	3	5	4	5	0	20.8	Medium	Seawater intrusion in Coastal basin due to excessive pumping	
23	3-26 WEST SANTA CRUZ TERRACE		Central Coast	SCRO	7,863	12.3	70,336	5 5	1	3	3.75	1	4	4	4	2	1	20.8	Medium	Water quality degradation	Low gw use, but basin at high risk of seawater intrusion due to thin alluvial aquifer and dependency on up-gradient users to maintain positive westward flow conditions (2005, Santa Cruz UWMP).
	5-28 TEHACHAPI VALLEY WEST		Tulare Lake	SCRO	14,854	23.2		-	-		3.75		2	1	1.5	1	1	20.3	Medium	Groundwater quality issues	Adjudicated basin
25	3-9 SAN LUIS OBISPO VALLEY		Central Coast		12,724	19.9	,		1		0	4	3	4	3.5	3	1			Overdraft Conditions	While only 18,000 may live in the actual basin, over 45,000 (2010 census) rely on the basin for 2/3rds of their drinking water.
	3-4.09 SALINAS VALLEY	LANGLEY AREA	Central Coast		15,344	24.0	-,		1		3.75		5	5	5	0	0	18.8	Medium		<u> </u>
	3-3.03 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY 3-4.04 SALINAS VALLEY	HOLLISTER AREA FOREBAY AQUIFER	Central Coast Central Coast	SCRO SCRO	32,729 94,025	51.1 146.9			1	2	3 2.25	4 5	3 5	5	3.5 5	0	0	17.5 17.3	Medium Medium		
	3-3.04 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA AREA		SCRO	74,305	116.1		_			2.25		2	5	3.5	4	0	16.8	Medium	Poor water quality due to high TDS	
30	3-3.02 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY	BOLSA AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	20,912	32.7	2,935	5 1	1	1	2.25	5	2	2	2	4	0	16.3	Medium	Water quality degradation, overdraft	
31	3-4.05 SALINAS VALLEY	UPPER VALLEY AQUIFER	Central Coast	SCRO	98,164	153.4	15,862	2 1	1	2	1.5	4	5	5	5	1	0	15.5	Medium	Poor quality water along the eastern side of subbasin. PSW above MCL for inorganics and Nitrates (B-118).	
32	3-4.10 SALINAS VALLEY	CORRAL DE TIERRA AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	22,274	34.8	7,831	1 1	3	4	3	0	3	5	4	0	0	15.0	Medium	The state of the s	
33	3-21 SANTA CRUZ PURISIMA FORMATION	IANEA	Central Coast	SCRO	40,166	62.8	17,693		0		3.75		3	4	3.5	0	1	14.3	Medium		Basin comprises the highland area east of Santa Cruz and serves as forebay to Pajaro, Soquel, and Terrace Basins to the westwhich are in various stages of overdraft.
																			1		
	5-22.10 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY 5-25 KERN RIVER VALLEY	PLEASANT VALLEY	Tulare Lake Tulare Lake	SCRO SCRO	145,782 79,678	227.8 124.5		3 1	3	0	0.75 2.25	3	3	5 0	4 0	0	0		Low Very Low		

1 CA DWR Run Version 05262014

CASEM Groundwater Basin Priority												Data C	ompon	ent Ra	anking Va	lue			Overal	l Ranking		
Rain											ells			Groundwater Reliance				Quarall				
Basin Name Basin Name Basin Name Sub-Basin Name Sub-Basin Name Phytrologic People Phytrologic People		Society . Home											cre	*	f ply **	Jce		u	Basin		Impact Comments	Other Information Comments
3.5 CHOLANE VALIEY Central Count SCRIC 39.847 62.2 48 0 0 1 0.75 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Rasin Name	Sub-Basin Name		Region				oulatio	ulatio	3		ated	Use	Su	/ Relian al	oacts	ner ormati		Priority		
38 5-23 PANOCHE MALEY Tulare Lake SCR0 33,090 51,7 41 0 0 0 0.75 1 0 5 0 0 0 0.0 Very Low	count	1.4		Region	Office	Acres	Sq. Mile	Topulation	Рор	Pop	Puk	Tot	īri	8 B	Per Tot	GW Tot	Ē	Oth				
3-30 SITTER WATER VALLEY Central Coast SCR0 32,222 53.8 38 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								48	Ŭ				2		0	0	·	0				
40 3-28 SAN BENTO RIVER VALLEY Central Coast SCRIO 24.223 37.8 10.1 0.0 0.2 0.75 1.1 1.5 0.0								41	Ŭ				1	Ŭ	5	0	Ü					
41 5-71 VALLECTIOS CREEK VALLEY Tulare Lake SCR0 15.110 23.6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39								_				2	0	0	0	0	0				
42 3.32 PEACH TREE VALLEY Central Coast SCR0 9.791 15.3 7 0 0 0 0 0.75 2 1 5 0 0 0 0 0.0 Very Low	40								0	0	2		1	1	5	0	0	0				
43 5-26 WALKER BASIN CREEK VALLEY Tulare Lake SCR0 7,663 12.0 249 1 0 1 3 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	41			Tulare Lake					0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
44 5-69 YOSEMITE VALLEY San Joaquin SCR0 7,465 11.7 1,016 1 5 4 0.75 0 1 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42			Central Coast					0	0	0	0.75	2	1	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
S-70 LOS BANOS CREEK VALLEY San Joaquin SCRO 4,835 7.6 O O O O O O O O O	43	5-26 WALKER BASIN CREEK VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	7,693	12.0	249	1	0	1	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
San Joaquin Scho Scho San Joaquin Scho San Joaquin Scho San Joaquin Scho Scho San Joaquin Scho San Joaquin Scho Scho San Joaquin Scho Scho San Joaquin Scho San Joaquin Scho Scho Scho San Joaquin Scho Scho Scho Scho San Joaquin Scho	44	5-69 YOSEMITE VALLEY		San Joaquin	SCRO	7,465	11.7	1,016	1	5	4	0.75	0	1	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
River Central Coast SCR0 4,706 7.4 S 0 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				River																		
46 3-24 QUIEN SABE VAILEY Central Coast SCRO 4,706 7.4 5 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	45	5-70 LOS BANOS CREEK VALLEY		San Joaquin	SCRO	4,835	7.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
47 5-83 CUDDY RANCH AREA Tulare Lake SCRO 4,213 6.6 774 1 0 5 1.5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				River																		
48 5-29 CASTAC LAKE VALLEY	46	3-24 QUIEN SABE VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	4,706	7.4	5	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
49 5-84 CUDDY VALLEY Central Coast SCRO 3,474 5.4 779 1 0 5 2.25 0 1 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	47	5-83 CUDDY RANCH AREA		Tulare Lake	SCRO	4,213	6.6	774	1	0	5	1.5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
49 5-84 CUDDY VALLEY Central Coast SCRO 3,474 5.4 779 1 0 5 2.25 0 1 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	48	5-29 CASTAC LAKE VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	3,573	5.6	366	1	0	5	0.75	1	1	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
Solid Soli	49	5-84 CUDDY VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO		5.4	779	1	0	5	2.25	0	1	5	0	0	0	0.0			
S-82 CUDDY CANYON VALLEY Tulare Lake SCRO 3,308 5.2 2,641 2 4 5 2.25 0 2 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	50			Central Coast			5.3	48	1	0	4		4	4	5	0	0	0	0.0			
52 5-80 BRITE VALLEY Tulare Lake SCRO 3,181 5.0 684 1 0 4 3.75 2 1 3 0	51	5-82 CUDDY CANYON VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	3,308	5.2	2.641	2	4	5	2.25	0	2	5	0	0	0	0.0			
Same Figure Same Same						-,			1	0			2	1	3	0	0	1				Adjudicated basin
S4 3-22 SANTA ANA VALLEY Central Coast SCRO 2,724 4.3 76 1 0 0 2.25 4 4 5 0 0 0 0.0 Very Low									0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0				i
Signature Sign									1	0	0		4	4	5	0	0	0				
56 3-20 ANO NUEVO AREA Central Coast SCRO 2,030 3.2 46 1 0 4 1.5 3 1 5 0 0 0 0 0.0 Very Low 57 3-23 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY Central Coast SCRO 1,431 2.2 5 0 0 0 0 1 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									2	5	5		0	2	5	0	0	0				
57 3-23 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY Central Coast SCRO 1,431 2.2 5 0 0 0 0 1 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									1	0	4		3	1	5	0	0	0				
58 3-29 DRY LAKE VALLEY Central Coast SCRO 1,416 2.2 8 0									0	0	0		0	1	5	0	0	0				
59 3-50 FELTON AREA									0	0	0		2	2	5	0	0	0				
60 3-27 SCOTTS VALLEY Central Coast SCRO 773 1.2 3,875 4 1 5 3.75 0 3 0 0 4 0 0.0 Very Low Overdraft and water quality issues associated with contaminated sites within the basin.									Ü	1	0		0	2	4	0	3	·			Overdraft	
sites within the basin.								-,	4	1	_		0	3	0	0	4	0				
	"	5 2. 300113 WILLET		Certifian Coddst	30110	,,,,	1.2	5,375	-	-	-	5.75	ĭ	5	Ĭ	J	1	ľ	0.0	VC. 7 25W		
■ 61 3-57 INFEDITE KLICK PLUIN	61	3-52 NEEDLE ROCK POINT		Central Coast	SCRO	479	0.7	66	1	0	0	3.75	5	3	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low	Sites within the pasin.	
62 3-51 MAJORS CREEK Central Coast SCRO 364 0.6 53 1 0 0 1.5 5 4 5 0 0 0 0 0.0 Very Low									1		0				5		·					

^{62 3-51} MAJORS CREEK Central Coast SCRO 364 0.6 53 1 0 0 1.5 5 4 5

NOTE: * Data component values were reduced by 25% due to data confidence, prior to calculating total GW basin ranking value

** Sub-fields that are used to determine the overal GW Reliance Total ((GW Use + GW %)/2)

*** Overall Basin Ranking Score = Population + Population Growth + PSW + (Total Wells x .75) + Irr Acreage + (GW Use + GW %)/2 + Impacts + Other